Case 4:12-cr-00664-YGR Document 9 Filed 04/27/12 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. 04-12-70442 MAG
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACCED
Jose Esquivel,	APR 27 2012
Defendant.) RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND
111at Act Iron April 27, 2012 to May 25 2012 a	and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of resecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial dby this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of due	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case commissee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unecessary for effective preparation, tall See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
For the reasons stated on the record, it 3161(b) and waived with the consent of 5.1(c) and (d).	is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
IT IS SO ORDERED.	1/1:11
DATED: April <u>27</u> , 2012	Hon. Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: DELIVER SCHOOL STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	James C. Mann Assistant United States Attorney